EXHIBIT A

SUM-100

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT:

COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION, a business entity FILED

(AVISO AL DEMANDADO): and DOES 1-20

Inclusive

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: VIRGINIA PEERA, an individual (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

CASE NUMBER

5/3/2022 1:00 PM Clerk of Court

Superior Court of CA, County of Santa Clara

22CV398102

Reviewed By: N. Christopherson

Envelope: 8895208

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

(For proof of service of this summor (Para prueba de entrega de esta cit				
DATE: (Fecha)5/3/2022 1:00 PM	Clerk of Court	Clerk, by (Secretario)		, Deputy <i>(Adjunto)</i>
Harris Personal Injury Lawyers; 55 408-512-3600	S. Market Street, Suite 101	10, San Jose, CA 9511	N. Christopherson	
San Jose, CA 95113 The name, address, and telephone <i>(El nombre, la dirección y el númer</i> d	o de teléfono del abogado d	lel demandante, o del i	demandante que no tiene abogado,	es):
191 N. First Street				
(El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara			(Número del Caso): 22CV398102	
And the contract of the contract beautiful to the contract of		(Número del Caso):		

, 00.14)	(Secretario)	(Aujuri
	ummons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).) esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)).	
[SEAL]	NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served 1 as an individual defendant. 2 as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):	
d Lifon	3. X on behalf of (specify): Costco Wholesale Corporation, a business en under: X CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) CCP 416.90 (authorized per other (specify):)
	4. by personal delivery on (date):	

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California SUM-100 [Rev. July 1, 2009]

The name and address of the court is:

SUMMONS

Page 1 of 1 Code of Civil Procedure §§ 412.20, 465 www.courtinfo.ca.gov Westlaw Doc & Form Builder

Case 5:22-cv-05649-NC Document 1-2 Filed 09/30/22 Page 3 of 43

		CM-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar Jordan D. Johnson, SBN 311258	number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Harris Personal Injury Lawyers		
55 S. Market Street, Suite 1010 San Jose, CA 95113		Electronically Filed
TELEPHONE NO.: 408-512-3600	FAX NO.: 408-320-0092	by Superior Court of CA,
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Virginia Peera, Plaint		County of Santa Clara,
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Sa	nta Clara	on 5/3/2022 1:00 PM
STREET ADDRESS: 191 N. First Street		Reviewed By: N. Christophersor
MAILING ADDRESS: 191 N. First Street CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Jose, CA 95113		Case #22CV398102
BRANCH NAME: Unlimited Civil	8	
CASE NAME:	4.0	Envelope: 8895208
Peera v. Costco Wholesale Corporat	ion et al.	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER: 22CV/200102
✓ Unlimited Limited		22CV398102
(Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder	weer.
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defer	
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402	
	ow must be completed (see instructions	s on page 2).
Check one box below for the case type that Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Compley Civil Literation
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
Business tort/unfair business practice (07	Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment
Civil rights (08)	<u>Unla</u> wful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
2. This case is is not com	plex under rule 3.400 of the California F	Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factors requiring exceptional judicial mana		
a. Large number of separately repre	the appropriate the second sec	er of witnesses
b. Extensive motion practice raising		n with related actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consuming		nties, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. Substantial amount of documenta	ary evidence f. Substantial	postjudgment judicial supervision
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a	. monetary b. nonmonetary:	declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify): 1.	. H	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
5. This case is is is not a class		
6. If there are any known related cases, file a	and serve a notice of related case. (You	may use form GM-015.)
Date: May 2, 2022	жилинг — Таваг айлалын — нөшт хишнөсийн найлалийн бийс найлын өсөн жилин өсөн жана жана өсөн б	, FDF
Jordan D. Johnson		
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(SIGNATURE OF PARTY) OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or in sanctions. • File this cover sheet in addition to any cove If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et	Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Refer sheet required by local court rule. seq. of the California Rules of Court, yo	ing (except small claims cases or cases filed ules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result ou must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
other parties to the action or proceeding. • Unless this is a collections case under rule	a 3.740 or a compley case, this course	neet will be used for statistical numerous only
- Offices une is a conections case under rule	5 3.740 of a complex case, this cover si	neet will be used for statistical purposes only.

CM-010

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiffs designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

```
Auto Tort
```

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death)

Asbestos (04) Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24) Medical Malpractice (45) Medical Malpractice-

Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice

Other PI/PD/WD (23)

Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism) Intentional Infliction of **Emotional Distress**

Negligent Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07)

Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08)

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13)

Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19) Professional Negligence (25)

Legal Malpractice
Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)

Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Contract

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)

Negligent Breach of Contract/

Warranty Other Breach of Contract/Warranty Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09)

Collection Case—Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections Case

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18)

Auto Subrogation Other Coverage

Other Contract (37) Contractual Fraud Other Contract Dispute

Real Property
Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14) Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property

Mortgage Foreclosure Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent

domain, landlord/tenant, or foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31)

Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)
Writ–Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39) Review of Health Officer Order Notice of Appeal-Labor Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)

Securities Litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)

Insurance Coverage Claims (arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)

Confession of Judgment (nondomestic relations) Sister State Judgment Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) Petition/Certification of Entry of

Judgment on Unpaid Taxes Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27)
Other Complaint (not specified above) (42)

Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (non-

harassment)

Mechanics Lien Other Commercial Complaint

Case (non-tort/non-complex) Other Civil Complaint

(non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition Partnership and Corporate

Governance (21)

Other Petition (not specified above) (43)

Civil Harassment Workplace Violence Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse

Election Contest Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief From Late Claim

Other Civil Petition

Case 5:22-cv-05649-NC Document 1-2 Filed 09/30/22 Page 5 of 43 E-FILED 5/3/2022 1:00 PM Clerk of Court Jordan D. Johnson, SBN 311258 Superior Court of CA, 1 Philip Alexander, SBN: 235216 County of Santa Clara Harris Personal Injury Lawyers, Inc. 2 22CV398102 55 S. Market Street, Suite 1010 Reviewed By: N. Christopherson San Jose, CA 95113 3 Telephone: (408) 512-3600 4 Facsimile: (408) 320-0092 5 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff Virginia Peera 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA 10 11 VIRGINIA PEERA, an individual; CASE NO.: 22CV398102 12 Plaintiff, 13 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES VS. 14 1. Negligence COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION, a 15 business entity; and DOES 1 through 20 16 Inclusive; 17 Defendants. 18 19 Plaintiff VIRGINIA PEERA ("Plaintiff") alleges as follows: 20 THE PARTIES 21 1. The conduct giving rise to this action occurred in the San Jose, County of Santa 22 Clara, State of California. 23 2. Plaintiff is an individual residing in Santa Clara, California. 24 3. Defendant COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION is a Washington business 25 entity doing business in the State of California. 26 4. At all times herein mentioned, "Defendants" refers COSTCO WHOLESALE 27 CORPORATION, and DOES 1 through 20 Inclusive. 28

Complaint for Damages - 1

- 5. Plaintiff is unaware of the true names and capacities of Defendants Does 1 through 20 inclusive, and therefore sues them by fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to show the true names and capacities of these Defendants once they are ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of these Defendants are responsible in some manner for the wrongful acts alleged in this Complaint and proximately caused Plaintiff's damages.
- 6. It is understood and believed that Defendants owned, leased, maintained, operated, controlled, repaired, supervised, managed and/or occupied the real property upon which Plaintiff's injuries occurred on the date of the incident.
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times material hereto and mentioned herein, each Defendant sued herein was the agent, servant, employer, joint venturer, partner, owner, subsidiary, alias, and/or alter ego of each of the remaining Defendants and was, at all times, acting within the purpose and scope of such employment, agency, servitude, ownership, subsidiary, alias and/or alter ego and with the authority, consent, approval, control, influence and ratification of each of the remaining Defendants sued herein.
- 8. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, include, but are not necessarily limited to, the employees, agents, persons, subsidiaries, representatives, affiliates, partners, distributors, vendors, and/or agents, who are each under the direct control of Defendants.
- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times herein mentioned, the premises where the subject accident occurred are located within the judicial district of the above-entitled court. The premises where the subject accident occurred, were in the exclusive care and custody of Defendants.
- 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that at all times herein mentioned each Defendant was the agent and employee of each of the remaining Defendants, and in doing the things hereinafter alleged, were acting within the scope of such agency and employment.

///

2

4

6

7

8

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

VENUE

At all times herein mentioned, the incident on which this action is based occurred within the boundaries of the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Santa Clara.
Said incident took place in the city of San Jose, California.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 12. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 11 as though fully set forth herein.
- 13. On March 16, 2022, Defendants, owned and/or controlled and/or maintained a store at 2376 S. Evergreen Loop, San Jose, CA 95122, State of California.
- 14. On March 16, 2022 the floor (the "Floor") of the Store was littered with produce, which created a dangerous and unsafe condition on the Floor.
- 15. On March 16, 2022, Plaintiff was shopping at the aforementioned store when she slipped and fell on the produce left on the floor causing her serious bodily injury and harm.
- 16. On or about March 16, 2022, at the Store, in the City of San Jose, California, Defendants carelessly and/or negligently used, controlled, maintained, and/or inspected the Store and/or Floor where Plaintiff was injured, so as to permit produce on the floor which was accessible to the public. This created a dangerous and unsafe condition that caused Plaintiff to be injured.
- 17. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times herein mentioned, the Store is located within the judicial district of the above-entitled court. The Store where the subject accident occurred was in the exclusive care and custody of Defendants.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENCE

(As to Defendants)

- 18. Plaintiff hereby repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 19 above, inclusive, and incorporates the same herein by reference as though fully set forth at length.
- 19. On or about March 16, 2022, at the Store, in the City of San Jose, California, Defendants carelessly and negligently used, controlled, maintained, and/or inspected the Floor

Complaint for Damages - 3

where Plaintiff was injured, so as to permit produce to be on the Floor. This created a dangerous and unsafe condition that caused Plaintiff to be injured.

- 20. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants, did so own, maintain, lease, operate, control, repair, supervise, manage and/or occupy the Store and/or Floor.
- 21. Defendants, in owning, maintaining, leasing, operating, controlling, repairing, supervising, managing and/or occupying the Store and/or Floor owed a duty to Plaintiff.
- 22. Defendants, in owning, maintaining, leasing, operating, controlling, repairing, supervising, managing and/or occupying the Store and/or Floor failed to use reasonable care to keep the Store and/or Floor in a reasonably safe condition.
- 23. Defendants, in owning, maintaining, leasing, operating, controlling, repairing, supervising, managing and/or occupying the Store and/or Floor failed to use reasonable care to discover any unsafe conditions and to repair, replace, or give adequate warning of anything that could be reasonably expected to harm Plaintiff.
- 24. Defendants, in owning, maintaining, leasing, operating, controlling, repairing, supervising, managing and/or occupying the Store and/or Floor created an unreasonable risk of harm to Plaintiff.
- 25. Defendants, in owning, maintaining, leasing, operating, controlling, repairing, supervising, managing and/or occupying the Store and/or Floor knew or should have known about the unreasonable risk of harm created by the produce left on the floor.
- 26. Defendants, in owning, maintaining, leasing, operating, controlling, repairing, supervising, managing and/or occupying the Store and/or Floor failed to take reasonable precautions to protect Plaintiff against the risk of the unreasonable harm, which is responsible for the injury caused by the dangerous and unsafe condition.
- 27. Defendants, in owning, maintaining, leasing, operating, controlling, repairing, supervising, managing and/or occupying the Store and/or Floor created an unreasonable risk of harm including a dangerous and unsafe condition, which was the actual and proximate cause of the injuries Plaintiff sustained.
- 28. Defendants, in owning, maintaining, leasing, operating, controlling, repairing, supervising, managing and/or occupying the Store and/or Floor owed a duty of reasonable care

 in providing safe and hazard free premises and protecting persons walking through the Store against unreasonable conditions.

- 29. Despite such duties owed by Defendants, including but not limited to those duties owed to Plaintiff, Defendants breached said duties by, amongst other things: (1) failing to properly maintain the Store and/or Store Floor; (2) failing to remove produce from the floor as referenced herein; (3) failing to warn Plaintiff of the hazardous condition created by the produce by using warning signs or other demarcation; (4) failing to keep the area inaccessible to the public; (5) failing to properly inspect the Store and/or Store Floor; and (6) Defendants created the dangerous condition which caused Plaintiff's injuries. As such, Defendants maintained the Store and/or Floor in such a manner so as to create, cause, allow, contribute to, or assist in the creation of a dangerous condition in the Store, which existed in the Store upon the Floor in sufficient time for the Defendants, and each of them, to have actual and/or constructive notice of such condition, and/or to warn Plaintiff of the existence of such conditions, which Defendants, and all of them, negligently and carelessly failed to do.
- 30. Further, Defendants failed to exercise ordinary care in the maintenance of the Store and/or Floor by failing to set out warning signs, marking delineators and/or any warning to Plaintiff that the condition of the Floor failed to meet the minimum standards of safety as set forth in the appropriate building codes.
- 31. At the time of Plaintiff's fall, Defendants knew or should have known that the Floor was no longer safe and constituted a dangerous condition with an unreasonable risk of harm presented to the persons walking through the Store on the Floor. Further, the Defendants knew or should have known that the persons walking through the Store on the Floor would be unaware of the dangerous condition presented by the produce on the floor. Defendants negligently failed to take steps to either make the condition safe or warn the Plaintiff and others of the dangerous condition, all of which caused Plaintiff to slip and fall on the produce and suffer the injuries and damages herein described.
- 32. By virtue of their status as owners, maintainers, lessors, operators, controllers, repairers, supervisors managers and/or occupiers of the Store and/or Floor, Defendants are responsible for the dangerous and defective conditions that existed upon the Floor, including but

not limited to, failing to remove the produce, failing to properly inspect the subject premises, and failing to install proper safeguards to prevent incidents, similar to the incident which caused Plaintiff's injuries.

- 33. As a proximate result of the negligence of the Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff was hurt and injured in her health, strength, and activity, sustaining injury to her body, and shock and injury to her nervous system and person, all of which said injuries have caused, and continue to cause Plaintiff great mental, physical, and emotional distress and nervous pain and suffering. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges, that said injuries will result in some impairment to said Plaintiff, all in addition to her general damages in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 34. As a proximate result of the negligence of the Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has incurred and will continue to incur medical and related expenses, in an amount that is unknown at this time but which will be proven at the time of trial.
- 35. As a further proximate result of the negligence of the Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer general damages in an amount presently unknown but which will be proven at the time of trial.
- 36. As a further proximate result of the negligence of the Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer economic damages and loss of earnings in an amount presently unknown but which will be proven at the time of trial.
- 37. As a further proximate result of the negligence of the Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer, a loss of earning capacity in an amount presently unknown but which will be proven at the time of trial.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against the Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. General damages to Plaintiff, according to proof;
- 2. Economic damages to Plaintiff, according to proof,
- 3. Medical and related expenses of Plaintiff, according to proof;
- 4. Plaintiff's loss of earnings, according to proof,

Case 5:22-cv-05649-NC Document 1-2 Filed 09/30/22 Page 11 of 43

Loss of earning capacity of Plaintiff, according to proof; 5. Costs of suit herein; 6. 7. Prejudgment interest; Such other further relief as the Court deems just and proper. 8. DATED: March 28, 2022 Harris Personal Injury Lawyers, Inc. Jordan D. Johnson Attorney for Plaintiff

Complaint for Damages - 7

ATTACHMENT CV-50 112 mandez

CIVIL LAWSUIT NOTICE

Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara 191 North First St., San José, CA 95113

CASE NUMBER:	22CV398102	

PLEASE READ THIS ENTIRE FORM

<u>PLAINTIFF</u> (the person suing): Within 60 days after filing the lawsuit, you must serve each Defendant with the *Complaint, Summons*, an *Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Information Sheet*, and a copy of this *Civil Lawsuit Notice*, and you must file written proof of such service.

<u>DEFENDANT</u> (The person sued): You must do each of the following to protect your rights:

- 1. You must file a written response to the *Complaint, using the proper legal form or format,* in the Clerk's Office of the Court, within **30 days** of the date you were served with the *Summons* and *Complaint*;
- 2. You must serve by mail a copy of your written response on the Plaintiff's attorney or on the Plaintiff if Plaintiff has no attorney (to "serve by mail" means to have an adult other than yourself mail a copy); and
- 3. You must attend the first Case Management Conference.

Warning: If you, as the Defendant, do not follow these instructions, you may automatically lose this case.

<u>RULES AND FORMS:</u> You must follow the California Rules of Court and the Superior Court of California, County of <_CountyName_> Local Civil Rules and use proper forms. You can obtain legal information, view the rules and receive forms, free of charge, from the Self-Help Center at 201 North First Street, San José (408-882-2900 x-2926).

- State Rules and Judicial Council Forms: www.courtinfo.ca.gov/forms and www.courtinfo.ca.gov/rules
- Local Rules and Forms: http://www.sccsuperiorcourt.org/civil/rule1toc.htm

<u>CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE (CMC):</u> You must meet with the other parties and discuss the case, in person or by telephone at least 30 calendar days before the CMC. You must also fill out, file and serve a *Case Management Statement* (Judicial Council form CM-110) at least 15 calendar days before the CMC.

You or your attorney must appear at the CMC. You may ask to appear by telephone – see Local Civil Rule 8.

Your Case Management Judge is: _	Manoukian, Socrates P	20 Department:		
The 1 st CMC is scheduled for: (Con	npleted by Clerk of Court) 9-27-22Time: 3 PM	in Department:		
The next CMC is scheduled for: (Completed by party if the 1st CMC was continued or has passed)				
Date:	Time:	in Department:		

<u>ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR):</u> If all parties have appeared and filed a completed *ADR Stipulation Form* (local form CV-5008) at least 15 days before the CMC, the Court will cancel the CMC and mail notice of an ADR Status Conference. Visit the Court's website at www.sccsuperiorcourt.org/civil/ADR/ or call the ADR Administrator (408-882-2100 x-2530) for a list of ADR providers and their qualifications, services, and fees.

WARNING: Sanctions may be imposed if you do not follow the California Rules of Court or the Local Rules of Court.

CM-110

	CIVI-1 1
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address) SBN 311258	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Jordan D. Johnson, Esq.	4
Harris Personal Injury Lawyers, Inc.	<u></u>
55 S. Market Street, Suite 1010, San Jose, CA 95113	1
TELEPHONE NO.: 408-512-3600 FAX NO. (Optional): 408-320-0092	
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): Jordan@harrispersonalinjury.com	ω,
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff, Virginia Peera	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Santa Clara	
STREET ADDRESS: 191 N. First Street	
MAILING ADDRESS: 191 N. First Street	
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Jose, CA 95113	
BRANCH NAME: Unlimited Civil	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Virginia Peera	
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Costco Wholesale Corporation et al.	4
CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT	CASE NUMBER:
(Check one): UNLIMITED CASE LIMITED CASE	22CV398102
(Amount demanded (Amount demanded is \$25,000	
exceeds \$25,000) or less)	
A CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE is scheduled as follows:	
Date: September 27, 2022 Time: 3:00 pm Dept.: 20	Div.: Room:
Address of court (if different from the address above):	
radicae di dedit (ii dinorant nom ina dadicae above).	
✓ Notice of Intent to Appear by Telephone, by (name): Jordan D. Johnson	
INSTRUCTIONS: All applicable boxes must be checked, and the specified	information must be provided.
Party or parties (answer one):	
a. This statement is submitted by party (name): Virginia Peera	
b This statement is submitted jointly by parties (names):	
2. Complaint and cross-complaint (to be answered by plaintiffs and cross-complainants	s only)
a. The complaint was filed on <i>(date):</i> May 3, 2022	s offiny)
b. The cross-complaint, if any, was filed on (date):	
Service (to be answered by plaintiffs and cross-complainants only)	
a. All parties named in the complaint and cross-complaint have been served,	have appeared, or have been dismissed.
b. The following parties named in the complaint or cross-complaint	
(1) have not been served (specify names and explain why not):	
(2) have been served but have not appeared and have not been of	lismissed (specify names):
(3) have had a default entered against them (specify names):	
c. The following additional parties may be added (specify names, nature of inc	volvement in case, and date by which
they may be served):	rowoment in ease, and date by winer
 Description of case a. Type of case in	oluding course of oatis-1
	cluding causes of action):
Premise Liability	

	CM-110
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Virginia Peera	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Costco Wholesale Corporation et al.	22CV398102
4. b. Provide a brief statement of the case, including any damages. (If personal injury damages claimed, including medical expenses to date [indicate source and amo earnings to date, and estimated future lost earnings. If equitable relief is sought, SEE ATTACHED STATEMENT OF THE CASE	unt], estimated future medical expenses, lost
(If more space is needed, check this box and attach a page designated as At 5. Jury or nonjury trial	tachment 4b.)
	han one party, provide the name of each party
 6. Trial date a The trial has been set for (date): b No trial date has been set. This case will be ready for trial within 12 month not, explain): 	as of the date of the filing of the complaint (if
c. Dates on which parties or attorneys will not be available for trial (specify dates a	nd explain reasons for unavailability):
 7. Estimated length of trial The party or parties estimate that the trial will take (check one): a.	
and the second control of the second control	d in the caption by the following: umber: represented:
9. Preference	
This case is entitled to preference (specify code section):	
in rule 3.221 to the client and reviewed ADR options with the client.	
b. Referral to judicial arbitration or civil action mediation (if available).	Neil Daniel Dani
(1) This matter is subject to mandatory judicial arbitration under Code of Code of Civil Procedure section 1775.3 because the a statutory limit.	amount in controversy does not exceed the
(2) Plaintiff elects to refer this case to judicial arbitration and agrees to lim Civil Procedure section 1141.11.	it recovery to the amount specified in Code of
(3) This case is exempt from judicial arbitration under rule 3.811 of the Ca	lifornia Rules of Court or from civil action

0	M	-1	1	n
	LVI	-		u

OIII-110
CASE NUMBER:
22CV398102

10. c. Indicate the ADR process or processes that the party or parties are willing to participate in, have agreed to participate in, or have already participated in *(check all that apply and provide the specified information):*

	The party or parties completing this form are willing to participate in the following ADR processes (check all that apply):	If the party or parties completing this form in the case have agreed to participate in or have already completed an ADR process or processes, indicate the status of the processes (attach a copy of the parties' ADR stipulation):
(1) Mediation	7	 Mediation session not yet scheduled ✓ Mediation session scheduled for (date): July 2022 Agreed to complete mediation by (date): Mediation completed on (date):
(2) Settlement conference		Settlement conference not yet scheduled Settlement conference scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete settlement conference by (date): Settlement conference completed on (date):
(3) Neutral evaluation		Neutral evaluation not yet scheduled Neutral evaluation scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete neutral evaluation by (date): Neutral evaluation completed on (date):
(4) Nonbinding judicial arbitration		Judicial arbitration not yet scheduled Judicial arbitration scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete judicial arbitration by (date): Judicial arbitration completed on (date):
(5) Binding private arbitration		Private arbitration not yet scheduled Private arbitration scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete private arbitration by (date): Private arbitration completed on (date):
(6) Other (<i>specify</i>):		ADR session not yet scheduled ADR session scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete ADR session by (date): ADR completed on (date):

		CM-110
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Virginia Peera		CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Costco Wholesale C	Corporation et al	22CV398102
11. Insurance a. Insurance carrier, if any, for party filing to the control of rights: b. Reservation of rights: c. Coverage issues will significantly affect.	his statement (name):	
12. Jurisdiction Indicate any matters that may affect the court's jurious Bankruptcy Other (specify): Status:	isdiction or processing of this case and	describe the status.
13. Related cases, consolidation, and coordination a. There are companion, underlying, or relation (1) Name of case: (2) Name of court: (3) Case number: (4) Status: Additional cases are described in Attach b. A motion to consolidate	ated cases.	ame party):
14. Bifurcation The party or parties intend to file a motion fo action (specify moving party, type of motion,		dinating the following issues or causes of
15. Other motions The party or parties expect to file the following	ng motions before trial (specify moving	party, type of motion, and issues):
16. Discovery a The party or parties have completed all b The following discovery will be completed Party Plaintiff Plaintiff		nticipated discovery): <u>Date</u> per code TBD
c. The following discovery issues, including anticipated (specify):	g issues regarding the discovery of elec	ctronically stored information, are

			CM-110
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER:	Virginia Peera		CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT:	Costco Wholesale Corporation et a	al.	22CV398102
of Civil Procedure b. This is a limited of	e sections 90-98 will apply to this case. sivil case and a motion to withdraw the c filed (if checked, explain specifically why	ase from the economic	
18. Other issues The party or parties conference (specify)	request that the following additional mat :	ters be considered or c	determined at the case management
19. Meet and confer a. The party or partie of Court (if not, ex	es have met and conferred with all partic oplain):	es on all subjects requi	red by rule 3.724 of the California Rules
b. After meeting and con (specify):	ferring as required by rule 3.724 of the 0	California Rules of Cou	rt, the parties agree on the following
as well as other issues raised t	nis case and will be fully prepared to disc	uthority to enter into st	tipulations on these issues at the time of
Date: September 12, 2022			$\cap V$
Jordan D. Johnson (TYPE OR	PRINT NAME)	(she	NATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)
(TYPE OR	PRINT NAME)	The second secon	nature of Party or attorney) natures are attached.

Peera v. Costco Wholesale Corporation, et al. Case No. 22CV398102

ATTACHMENT TO CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT

Statement of the case:

This is a premise liability case that arises out of an incident that occurred on March 16, 2022 in Santa Clara County at the Costco Wholesale Corporation. Plaintiff sustained injuries as a result of Defendant's negligence.

Plaintiff Virginia Peera's medical specials total over \$142,592.20. Plaintiff's future medical expenses, lost wages, and earning capacity are to be determined at a later date.

Written discovery of both Plaintiff and Defendants is ongoing. Plaintiff and Defendans will be deposed will be deposed on a date to be determined.

Peera v. Costco Wholesale Corporation, et al.

COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, CASE NO.: 22CV398102

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare as follows:

I am employed in the County of Alameda, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this within action; my business address is 409 13th Street, 17th Floor, Oakland, CA 94612.

On September 12, 2022, I caused to be served the following document(s) described as:

PLAINTIFF'S CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT

on the interested parties in this action as follows:

Attorneys for Defendant Arthur J. Casey FORD, WALKER, HAGGERTY & BEHAR, LLP 16450 Los Gatos Blvd., Suite 110 Los Gatos, CA 95032 Email: acasey@fwhb.com

mnery@fwhb.com larmanino@fwhb.com

[X] ONLY BY ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION. Only by e-mailing the document(s) to the persons at the e-mail address(es) listed based on notice provided on March 17, 2020 that, during the Coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic, this office will be working remotely, not able to send physical mail as usual, and is therefore using only electronic mail. No electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful was received within a reasonable time after the transmission. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed on September 12, 2022 at Oakland, California.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		Electronically Filed by Superior Court of CA, County of Santa Clara, on 6/22/2022 12:46 PM Reviewed By: P. Lai Case #22CV398102 Envelope: 9277942	
10			
11	VIRGINIA PEERA, an individual,	Case No. 22CV398102	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	vs.	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT	
14	COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION, a business entity; and DOES 1 through 20,		
15	Inclusive,		
16	Defendants.		
17			
18	COMES NOW defendant, COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION ("defendant"), and in		
19	answer to the Complaint of plaintiff VIRGINIA PEERA ("plaintiff") on file herein, admits, denies,		
20	and alleges as follows:		
21		I.	
22	Answering all causes of action pled by the above-named plaintiff, this answering defendant,		
23	pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 431.30, denies, both generally and specifically, every		
24	material allegation, including the damage allegations, in every cause of action alleged.		
25			
26	AS A SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint on file herein, this		
27 28			
40	the matters complained of and that such carelessn	ess and negligence contributed to the happening of	

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT Case No. 22CV398102

2

3 4 5

6 7

8 9

11 12

10

14 15

13

16 17 18

19 20

21 22 23

24 25

26 27

28

the incident complained of, and the damages, if any, sustained thereby.

AS A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint on file herein, this answering defendant is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that plaintiff, with full appreciation of the particular risks involved, nevertheless knowingly and voluntarily assumed the risks and hazards of the incident complained of, and the damages, if any, resulting therefrom.

AS A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint on file herein, this answering defendant alleges that the Complaint fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against this answering defendant.

AS A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint on file herein, this answering defendant is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that plaintiff's causes of action are barred by reason of plaintiff's failure to mitigate damages, if any.

AS A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint on file herein, this answering defendant asserts that plaintiff's injuries were caused or contributed to by third parties in a percentage to be determined at trial and that plaintiff's recovery of noneconomic damages shall be reduced in proportion to such third parties' percentage of fault. Civil Code § 1431.2.

AS A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint on file herein, this answering defendant alleges that said Complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action in that said Complaint is barred by the Statute of Limitations; to wit, by the provisions of the Code of Civil Procedure, Section 335, et seq.

AS A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint on file herein, this answering defendant is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the plaintiff's employer was negligent and careless and that this carelessness and negligence on the part of the plaintiff's employer proximately caused or contributed to the damages, if any, sustained by the plaintiff, and that by reason thereof, this answering defendant is entitled to a reduction in any verdict which may be rendered against this defendant in the amount of any benefits paid or payable to the plaintiff on behalf of the plaintiff's employer.

AS A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint on file herein, this answering defendant alleges that if plaintiff was, in fact, acting within the course and

Case 5:22-cv-05649-NC Document 1-2 Filed 09/30/22 Page 22 of 43

scope of employment, plaintiff's exclusive remedy is before the Workers' Compensation Appeals Board, this court having no jurisdiction to determine this matter.

WHEREFORE, this answering defendant prays that plaintiff take nothing by reason of the Complaint on file herein, that this answering defendant be awarded costs of suit herein incurred, and for such other, further, and different relief as may be deemed appropriate by the Court.

Dated: June 22, 2022 FORD, WALKER, HAGGERTY & BEHAR, LLP

By:

ARTHUR J. CASEY
Attorney for Defendant

COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION

PROOF OF SERVICE 1 Peera v. Costco Santa Clara County Case No. 22CV398102 2 3 I, MARIELA NERY, declare: 4 I am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within entitled action. I am employed by Ford, Walker, Haggerty & Behar, LLP. My business address is 16450 Los Gatos Blvd., Suite 110, Los Gatos, California 95032. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection 5 and processing of documents for delivery by way of the service indicated below. 6 On **JUNE 22, 2022**, I served the following document(s): 7 ANSWER TO COMPLAINT 8 on the interested party(ies) in this action as follows: 9 BY U.S. MAIL: By placing said document in an envelope or package for collection and mailing, addressed to the person at the address listed below, following our ordinary business practices. I 10 am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection and processing of mail. Under that 11 practice, on the same day that mail is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the U.S. Postal Service, in a sealed envelope or package with the 12 postage fully prepaid. 13 **BY E-MAIL:** I caused the document to be sent to the person(s) at the e-mail address(es) listed below, each of whom previously authorized electronic service of documents in this action. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other 14 indication that the transmission was unsuccessful. 15 Jordan D. Johnson Attorneys for Plaintiff VIRGINIA PEERA 16 Philip Alexander Harris Personal Injury Lawyers, Inc. 55 S. Market Street, Suite 1010 17 San Jose, CA 95113 18 EMAILS:iordan@harrispersonalinjury.com palexander@harrispersonalinjury.com 19 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is 20 true and correct. 21 Executed on JUNE 22, 2022, at Los Gatos, California. 22 Mariela Neru 23 MARIELA NERY 24 25 26 27 28

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	ARTHUR J. CASEY [SBN 123273] FORD, WALKER, HAGGERTY & BEHAR, LI 16450 Los Gatos Blvd., Suite 110 Los Gatos, CA 95032 Tel: (408) 660-3102 Fax: (408) 660-3105 Email: acasey@fwhb.com Attorneys for Defendant COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION	by Superior Court of CA, County of Santa Clara, on 6/22/2022 12:46 PM Reviewed By: P. Lai Case #22CV398102 Envelope: 9277942
8		IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF S	SANTA CLARA
10	WIDCINIA DEED A ' 1' '1 1	G N- 22GV209102
11 12	VIRGINIA PEERA, an individual,	Case No. 22CV398102
13	Plaintiff,	REQUEST FOR JURY TRIAL AND
14	vs. COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION, a	NOTICE OF JURY FEES DEPOSIT
15	business entity; and DOES 1 through 20, Inclusive,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	TO THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORN	NIA, COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, AND TO
19	PLAINTIFF AND HER ATTORNEY OF RECO	ORD:
20	Defendant COSTCO WHOLESALE CO	ORPORATION hereby requests a jury trial in this
21	matter and deposits jury fees in the amount of \$1	50.00.
22	Dated: June 22, 2022	FORD, WALKER, HAGGERTY & BEHAR, LLP
23		
24		By:
25		ARTHUR J. CASEY Attorney for Defendant
26		COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION
27		
28		

Case No. 22CV398102

REQUEST FOR JURY TRIAL AND

NOTICE OF JURY FEES DEPOSIT

PROOF OF SERVICE 1 Peera v. Costco Santa Clara County Case No. 22CV398102 2 3 I, MARIELA NERY, declare: 4 I am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within entitled action. I am employed by Ford, Walker, Haggerty & Behar, LLP. My business address is 16450 Los Gatos Blvd., 5 Suite 110, Los Gatos, California 95032. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection and processing of documents for delivery by way of the service indicated below. 6 On **JUNE 22, 2022**, I served the following document(s): 7 REQUEST FOR JURY TRIAL AND NOTICE OF JURY FEES DEPOSIT 8 9 on the interested party(ies) in this action as follows: BY U.S. MAIL: By placing said document in an envelope or package for collection and mailing, 10 addressed to the person at the address listed below, following our ordinary business practices. I 11 am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection and processing of mail. Under that practice, on the same day that mail is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the U.S. Postal Service, in a sealed envelope or package with the 12 postage fully prepaid. 13 **BY E-MAIL:** I caused the document to be sent to the person(s) at the e-mail address(es) listed 14 below, each of whom previously authorized electronic service of documents in this action. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other 15 indication that the transmission was unsuccessful. Attorneys for Plaintiff 16 Jordan D. Johnson VIRGINIA PEERA Philip Alexander Harris Personal Injury Lawyers, Inc. 17 55 S. Market Street, Suite 1010 San Jose, CA 95113 18 EMAILS:jordan@harrispersonalinjury.com 19 palexander@harrispersonalinjurv.com 20 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. 21 Executed on **JUNE 22, 2022**, at Los Gatos, California. 22 23 Mariela Nery 24 MARIELA NERY 25 26 27 28

CM £1.110eming

	CIVITAL I W			
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY			
Arthur J. Casey [SBN 123273]	Electronically Filed			
FORD, WALKER, HAGGERTY & BEHAR, LLP	by Superior Court of CA,			
16450 Los Gatos Boulevard, Suite 110. Los Gatos, CA 95032	County of Santa Clara,			
TELEPHONE NO.: (408) 660-3153 FAX NO. (Optional): (408) 660-3105	on 9/12/2022 4:20 PM			
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): acasey@fwhb.com	Reviewed By: R. Fleming			
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Defendant COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION	Case #22CV398102			
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA	Envelope: 9942377			
STREET ADDRESS: 191 North First Street				
MAILING ADDRESS:				
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Jose, CA 95113 BRANCH NAME:				
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: VIRGINIA PEERA, an individual				
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION				
DEI ENDAMINESI GNOENT. COSTCO WHOLESALE CONFORATION				
CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT	CASE NUMBER:			
(Check one): UNLIMITED CASE LIMITED CASE	22CV398102			
(Amount demanded (Amount demanded is \$25,000 exceeds \$25,000) or less)				
<u>'</u>				
A CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE is scheduled as follows:				
Date: SEPTEMBER 27, 2022 Time: 3:00 PM Dept.: 20	Div.: Room:			
Address of court (if different from the address above):				
Notice of Intent to Appear by Telephone, by (name): Arthur J. Casey				
INSTRUCTIONS: All applicable boxes must be checked, and the specified	d information must be provided.			
1. Party or parties (answer one):				
a. This statement is submitted by party (name):				
b. This statement is submitted jointly by parties (names): Defendant COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION				
2. Complete and areas complete (to be an array and by plaintiffs and areas complete and a				
 Complaint and cross-complaint (to be answered by plaintiffs and cross-complainants only) a. The complaint was filed on (date): 				
b. The consplaint was filed on (date). b. The cross-complaint, if any, was filed on (date):				
3. Service (to be answered by plaintiffs and cross-complainants only)				
a. All parties named in the complaint and cross-complaint have been served,	nave appeared, or nave been dismissed.			
b The following parties named in the complaint or cross-complaint				
(1) have not been served (specify names and explain why not):				
(2) have been served but have not appeared and have not been	dismissed (specify names):			
(3) have had a default entered against them (specify names):				
c. Light The following additional parties may be added (specify names, nature of in they may be served):	nvolvement in case, and date by which			
incy may be serveu).				
4. Description of case	naluding acuses of action):			
	ncluding causes of action):			
Personal Injury / Slip and fall accident.				

CM-110

	PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: VIRGINIA PEERA, an individual		CASE NUMBER:
_	EFENDANT/RESPONDENT: COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION		22CV398102
ט	EFENDANT/RESPONDENT: COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION		
4.	b. Provide a brief statement of the case, including any damages. (If personal injudamages claimed, including medical expenses to date [indicate source and a earnings to date, and estimated future lost earnings. If equitable relief is sough Slip and fall accident occurred on March 16, 2022, at the Costco was San Jose, CA 95122.	amount], ght, desc	estimated future medical expenses, lost cribe the nature of the relief.)
	(If more space is needed, check this box and attach a page designated as	s Attachi	ment 4b.)
5.	Jury or nonjury trial		
	The party or parties request a jury trial a nonjury trial. (If mo requesting a jury trial):	re than (one party, provide the name of each party
6.	Trial date		
	a. The trial has been set for (date):		
	b. No trial date has been set. This case will be ready for trial within 12 monot, explain):	onths of	the date of the filing of the complaint (if
7.	c. Dates on which parties or attorneys will not be available for trial (specify date (2023) 1/23-1/27;1/30-2/3; 2/6-2/10;2/17-2/21;2/21-2/25;2/27-3/01;3/4/10-4/20; 4/17-4/26; 4/17-4/20; 4/17-4/20; 5/8-5/12; 6/5-6/9;6/5-6/9; Estimated length of trial The party or parties estimate that the trial will take (check one):	/20-3/2	5; 3/27-3/31; 4/07-4/14;
	a. days (specify number): 5-7		
	b. hours (short causes) (specify):		
8.	Trial representation (to be answered for each party) The party or parties will be represented at trial		
	- · · · · · ·	x numbe	er: esented:
	Additional representation is described in Attachment 8.	ану герг	esenieu.
9.	Preference This case is entitled to preference (specify code section):		
10.	Alternative dispute resolution (ADR)		
	a. ADR information package. Please note that different ADR processes are a the ADR information package provided by the court under rule 3.221 for info court and community programs in this case.		
	(1) For parties represented by counsel: Counsel has has not in rule 3.221 to the client and reviewed ADR options with the client.	provid	ed the ADR information package identified
	(2) For self-represented parties: Party has has not reviewed the	e ADR ir	nformation package identified in rule 3.221
	b. Referral to judicial arbitration or civil action mediation (if available).		
	(1) This matter is subject to mandatory judicial arbitration under Code of mediation under Code of Civil Procedure section 1775.3 because the statutory limit.	of Civil F he amou	Procedure section 1141.11 or to civil action int in controversy does not exceed the
	(2) Plaintiff elects to refer this case to judicial arbitration and agrees to Civil Procedure section 1141.11.	limit rec	overy to the amount specified in Code of
	(3) This case is exempt from judicial arbitration under rule 3.811 of the mediation under Code of Civil Procedure section 1775 et seq. (spe	Californ	ia Rules of Court or from civil action mption):

CM-110

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER:	VIRGINIA PEERA, an individual	CASE NUMBER:
	COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION	22CV398102

10. c. Indicate the ADR process or processes that the party or parties are willing to participate in, have agreed to participate in, or have already participated in *(check all that apply and provide the specified information):*

	The party or parties completing this form are willing to participate in the following ADR processes (check all that apply):	If the party or parties completing this form in the case have agreed to participate in or have already completed an ADR process or processes, indicate the status of the processes (attach a copy of the parties' ADR stipulation):		
(1) Mediation	7	 ✓ Mediation session not yet scheduled ✓ Mediation session scheduled for (date): ✓ Agreed to complete mediation by (date): ✓ Mediation completed on (date): 		
(2) Settlement conference		Settlement conference not yet scheduled Settlement conference scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete settlement conference by (date): Settlement conference completed on (date):		
(3) Neutral evaluation		Neutral evaluation not yet scheduled Neutral evaluation scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete neutral evaluation by (date): Neutral evaluation completed on (date):		
(4) Nonbinding judicial arbitration		Judicial arbitration not yet scheduled Judicial arbitration scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete judicial arbitration by (date): Judicial arbitration completed on (date):		
(5) Binding private arbitration		Private arbitration not yet scheduled Private arbitration scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete private arbitration by (date): Private arbitration completed on (date):		
(6) Other (specify):		ADR session not yet scheduled ADR session scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete ADR session by (date): ADR completed on (date):		

Case 5:22-cv-05649-NC Document 1-2 Filed 09/30/22 Page 29 of 43

		CM-110
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: VIRGINIA PEERA, a	an individual	CASE NUMBER:
_ DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: COSTCO WHOLES.	ALE CORPORATION	22CV398102
1. Insurance a. Insurance carrier, if any, for party filing the second	0	
12. Jurisdiction Indicate any matters that may affect the court's juris Bankruptcy Other (specify): Status:	sdiction or processing of this case and	describe the status.
3. Related cases, consolidation, and coordination a. There are companion, underlying, or rela (1) Name of case: (2) Name of court: (3) Case number: (4) Status: Additional cases are described in Attach b. A motion to consolidate	ited cases.	ame partv):
14. Bifurcation The party or parties intend to file a motion for action (specify moving party, type of motion,	an order bifurcating, severing, or coor	
5. Other motions The party or parties expect to file the following Standard motions in limine at time of trials.		party, type of motion, and issues):
 16. Discovery a. The party or parties have completed all of the party of the following discovery will be complete Party Defendant 		nticipated discovery): Date TBD Pending TBD TBD TBD TBD Pursuant to Code
c. The following discovery issues, including anticipated (specify):	issues regarding the discovery of elec	tronically stored information, are

Case 5:22-cv-05649-NC Document 1-2 Filed 09/30/22 Page 30 of 43

CM-110

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER:	VIRGINIA PEERA, an individual		CASE NUMBER: 22CV398102
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT:	COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPOR	RATION	220 (000 102
of Civil Procedur	e sections 90-98 will apply to this case. civil case and a motion to withdraw the offiled (if checked, explain specifically wh	case from the economic	
18. Other issues The party or parties conference (specify,	request that the following additional ma):	atters be considered or o	determined at the case management
of Court <i>(if not, e</i> Parties have no ADR with a pri		so before the CMC. I take for this slip & fall	
as well as other issues raised	his case and will be fully prepared to dis	authority to enter into s	overy and alternative dispute resolution, tipulations on these issues at the time of l.
Date: September 12, 2022			
Arthur J. Casey	R PRINT NAME)	(9)	GNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)
<u> </u>	R PRINT NAME)	<u> </u>	GNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)
(,		gnatures are attached.

PROOF OF SERVICE 1 Peera v. Costco Santa Clara County Case No. 22CV398102 2 3 I, MARIELA NERY, declare: 4 I am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within entitled action. I am employed by Ford, Walker, Haggerty & Behar, LLP. My business address is 16450 Los Gatos Blvd., 5 Suite 110, Los Gatos, California 95032. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection and processing of documents for delivery by way of the service indicated below. 6 On **SEPTEMBER 12, 2022**, I served the following document(s): 7 CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT DATED 9/12/22 8 9 on the interested party(ies) in this action as follows: 10 BY E-MAIL: By agreement of the parties to accept service by electronic transmission, I caused the document(s) to be sent from email address mnery@fwhb.com to the persons at the electronic 11 notification addresses shown below. 12 Jordan D. Johnson Attorneys for Plaintiff 13 Harris Personal Injury Lawyers, Inc. VIRGINIA PEERA 55 S. Market Street, Suite 1010 14 San Jose, CA 95113 EMAIL:jordan@harrispersonalinjury.com 15 16 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. 17 Executed on **SEPTEMBER 12, 2022**, at Los Gatos, California. 18 19 Mariela Nery 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

PROOF OF SERVICE Case No. 22CV398102

Case 5:22-cv-05649-NC Document 1-2 Filed 09/30/22 Page 32 of 43

RAR-OBLOciaga

				KAR-UBUM
ATTORNEY OR PA	RTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:	STATE BAR NUMBER: 123273	3	
NAME: Arthur				Electronically Filed
FIRM NAME: FORD, WALKER, HAGGERTY & BEHAR, LLP				by Superior Court of CA,
	: 16450 Los Gatos Boulevard, Sui			1 -
CITY: Los Gate		STATE: CA ZIP CODE: 95	032	County of Santa Clara,
	(408) 660-3153	FAX NO.: (408) 660-3105		on 9/12/2022 4:20 PM
	acasey@fwhb.com			Reviewed By: R. Burciaga
	name): Defendant COSTCO WHOLE			Case #22CV398102
	OURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF	SANTA CLARA		Envelope: 9942377
	s: 191 North First Street			
MAILING ADDRES				
	E: San Jose, CA 95113			
BRANCH NAM				-
	FF/PETITIONER: VIRGINIA PEERA,			
DEFENDANT/	RESPONDENT: COSTCO WHOLES	SALE CORPORATION		
OTHE	ER CASE NAME:			
	NOTICE OF REMOTE	ADDEADANCE		CASE NUMBER:
	NOTICE OF REMOTE	APPEARANCE		22CV398102
online pr this form	ocess for giving notice. You may all in a juvenile dependency proceedi	lso use it to give the requireding.)	d notice to all	unless the court's website describes an other parties in the case. (Do not use
	ngs that allow remote appearances			e departments and types of cases or partments for such appearances.
See page 3 of this form for more information, including deadlines for giving notice and for opposing a remote appearance if this notice is for an evidentiary hearing or trial.				d for opposing a remote appearance if
	n appearing remotely should cor		gh appearing	in court in person.
1 The perce	on who intends to appear remotely i	is (check and complete all th	ant anniv):	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	is (check and complete all ti	ιαι αμμιγ).	
<u> </u>	ntiff/Petitioner (name):			
Atto	rney for Plaintiff/Petitioner <i>(name):</i>	•		
Defe	endant/Respondent <i>(name):</i>			
X Atto	orney for Defendant/Respondent <i>(n</i> a	ame): Arthur J. Casey		
<u> </u>	er (name and role in case):	,		
	or (name and role in case).			
2. The perso	on or persons in 1 intends to appear	r remotely (check one):		
a	Throughout the case.			
b. x	At the proceeding described belo	w, including on any later da	tes if the proc	eeding is continued (describe):
Type	e of proceeding: Case Managemen		·	,
· · ·				
	on (date): SEPTEMBER 27, 2022	at <i>(time):</i> 3:00 p.m.	ın (d	department): 20
Befo	ore (name of judicial officer, if know	rn):		
3. The person	on intends to appear by <i>(check cou</i>		may be used)	:
Vide	eoconference x Audio only	(including telephone)		
proce				uests the following additional aspects of the otely and why; attach form MC-25 if more

Case 5:22-cv-05649-NC Document 1-2 Filed 09/30/22 Page 33 of 43 **RA-010** PLAINTIFF: VIRGINIA PEERA, an individual CASE NUMBER 22CV398102 DEFENDANT: COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION 5. x I agree to keep the proceeding confidential to the same extent as would be required if I were appearing in person. Date: September 12, 2022 Arthur J. Casey (TYPE OR PRINT NAME (SIGNATURE) **Notice to Other Parties** Anyone intending to appear remotely must provide notice to all other parties by the deadlines stated in Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.672, and described on the next page. Notice may be provided orally, electronically, or by giving the other parties this form in a way to ensure it is received by the applicable deadline. The party must tell the court this was done either by filing a proof of service (this may be done on forms POS-040 or POS-050 for electronic service) or by completing and signing the declaration below. **Declaration of Notice** I gave notice that I intend to appear remotely to the other parties or persons entitled to receive notice in this case as stated below. Complete one item below for each person notice was given to, and enter one of the following options for "Method of notice" in c. • Mail: By mailing them a copy of this form (write the mailing address in d.) • Overnight delivery: By having a copy of this form delivered overnight (write the delivery address in d.) • Electronic notice: By e-mail or text message (write the e-mail or phone number in d.) Phone: By telling them over the telephone or leaving them voice mail (write the phone number in d.), or • In person: By giving them a copy of this form in person, or by telling them orally in person (write the address in d.) Plaintiff/Petitioner 2. X Attorney for: Plaintiff VIRGINIA PEERA a. Name: a. Name: Jordan D. Johnson, Esq. b. Date of notice: b. Date of notice: September 12, 2022 c. Method of notice: c. Method of notice: E-MAIL d. Address (mailing, in-person, or email) or phone number: d. Address (mailing, in-person, or email) or phone number: jordan@harrispersonalinjury.com Defendant/Respondent Attorney for: a. Name: a. Name: b. Date of notice: b. Date of notice: c. Method of notice: c. Method of notice: d. Address (mailing, in-person, or email) or phone number: d. Address (mailing, in-person, or email) phone number: Attorney for: Other (specify): a. Name: a. Name: b. Date of notice: b. Date of notice: Method of notice: c. Method of notice: d. Address (mailing, in-person, or email) phone number: d. Address (mailing, in-person, or email) or phone number: Other (specify): Other (specify): a. Name: a. Name: b. Date of notice: b. Date of notice: c. Method of notice: c. Method of notice: d. Address (mailing, in-person, or email) or phone number: d. Address (mailing, in-person, or email) or phone number: If more people were given notice, check here, attach form MC-025, titled as Attachment Notice, and add the information about

how and when notice was given to each person.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: September 12. 2022

MARIELA NERY (TYPE OR PRINT NAME) Marisla Nery (SMATURE)

Instructions for Giving Notice of Remote Appearance

(This page does not need to be filed.)

- **1. Court online procedures**. Before using this form, check the court's website to see if that court has an online procedure for providing notice to the court of your intent to appear remotely instead. You can find a link to the website for each court at: https://www.courts.ca.gov/find-my-court.htm.
- 2. How to use this form. This form is intended for use in civil cases only (any cases not criminal or petitions for habeas corpus, other than petitions under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 5000 et seq.), to provide written notice of intent to appear remotely, to a court and the parties, as described in Code of Civil Procedure section 367.75. It is not needed in juvenile dependency hearings.

Check the court's website to determine how remote appearances work in that court before completing this form. If the court does not have an online procedure for giving notice to the court of intent to appear remotely, complete and file this form to give the court notice. If you intend to appear remotely throughout the case, you only need to file it once (check item 2a).

- **3. Notice to others**. You may also use this form to show that you gave notice to other parties. You must give notice of your intent to appear remotely to all parties and other persons who are entitled to notice of the proceeding. (If you checked item 2a, you only need to give notice once. Otherwise, give notice to the court and others before each proceeding you intend to appear at remotely.) You can describe how and when you gave notice in the Declaration of Notice on page 2, or by filing a proof of service with the court.
- 4. When to file and give notice to others.

California Rules of Court, rule 3.672(g) and (h) state the deadlines by which you have to give notice of intent to appear remotely to the other parties and the court. (You can give notice earlier.) There are different deadlines:

For motions and proceedings in which people cannot testify

If a party gives or receives at least 3 court days' notice of the proceeding (including all regularly noticed motions):

At least 2 court days before the proceeding.

If a party gives or receives less than 3 court days' notice of the proceeding (including ex parte applications):

- · With the moving papers, if the notice to appear remotely is by the party that is asking for the hearing; or
- By 2 p.m. the court day before the hearing if the notice to appear remotely is by any other party.

Note: If a party misses these deadlines, they may still ask the court for permission to appear remotely.

For trials, including small claims trials, and hearings in which people may testify (evidentiary hearings)

If a party gives or receives at least 15 court days' notice of a trial or hearing date, and for all small claims trials:

At least 10 court days before the trial or hearing date.

If a party gives or receives *less than 15 days' notice* of the trial or hearing (including hearings on protective orders):

- With the moving papers or at least 5 court days before the hearing, if the notice to appear remotely is by the party that is asking for the hearing; or
- By 2 p.m. the court day before the hearing if the notice to appear remotely is by any other party.

Note: If a party misses these deadlines, they may still ask thecourt for permission to appear remotely.

- **5. Opposition to remote appearances at trial or evidentiary hearing**. If a party or witness has given notice of intent to appear remotely at a trial or evidentiary hearing (hearing at which people may testify), other parties in the action may oppose the remote appearance by filing *Opposition to Remote Proceeding at Evidentiary Hearing or Trial* (form RA-015). The opposition must be served on parties and other persons entitled to receive notice of the proceedings, by the deadlines summarized on that form. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.672(h)(3).)
- 6. In-person appearance. A court may require any person to appear in person instead of remotely. (Code Civ. Proc., § 367.75(b).)
- 7. Recordings. No person may record a proceeding without first getting approval from the judge. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 1.150(c).)
- **8.** Accommodations for disability. If a party needs an accommodation for a disability, use form MC-410, *Disability Accommodations Request*, to tell the court about their needs. See form MC-410-INFO for more information.
- **9. Request for interpreter.** If a party does do not speak English well, ask the court clerk as soon as possible for a court-provided interpreter. Form INT-300, *Request for an Interpreter*, or a local court form may be used to request an interpreter. If no court interpreter is available, it may be necessary to reschedule the hearing or trial.

EFS-020ciaga

		EI ONOLON
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:	STATE BAR NO.: 123273	FOR COURT USE ONLY
NAME: ARTHUR J. CASEY		
FIRM NAME: FORD, WALKER, HAGGERTY	/ & BEHAR, LLP	
STREET ADDRESS: 16450 Los Gatos Bvld., S	Suite 110	
CITY: Los Gatos	STATE: CA ZIP CODE: 95032	
TELEPHONE NO.: (408) 660-3102	FAX NO.: (408) 660-3105	on 9/12/2022 4:20 PM
E-MAIL ADDRESS: acasey@fwhb.com		
ATTORNEY FOR (name): Defendant COSTCO	WHOLESALE CORPORATION	Reviewed By: R. Burciaga Case #22CV398102
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COU	NTY OF SANTA CLARA	
STREET ADDRESS: 191 North First Street		Envelope: 9942377
MAILING ADDRESS:		
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Jose, CA 95113		
BRANCH NAME:		CASE NUMBER:
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: VIRGINIA F	PEERA, an individual	22CV398102
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION		JUDICIAL OFFICER:
OTHER:		Judge Socrates Manoukian
OTHER.		
PROPOSED ORDER (COVER SHEET)		DEPT: 20

NOTE: This cover sheet is to be used to electronically file and submit to the court a proposed order. The proposed order sent electronically to the court must be in PDF format and must be attached to this cover sheet. In addition, a version of the proposed order in an editable word-processing format must be sent to the court at the same time as this cover sheet and the attached proposed order in PDF format are filed.

- Name of the party submitting the proposed order: Defendant COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION
- 2. Title of the proposed order: STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER
- 3. The proceeding to which the proposed order relates is:
 - a. Description of proceeding:
 - b. Date and time:
 - c. Place:
- 4. The proposed order was served on the other parties in the case.

Arthur J. Casey (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)



(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)

	Λ	1	^
гэ	-U	Z	u

CASE NAME:	CASE NUMBER:
	CASE NUMBER: 22CV398102
Peera vs. Costco	220 1000 102

PROOF OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE PROPOSED ORDER			
1.	I am at least 18 years old and not a party to this action.		
	a. My residence or business address is (specify): FORD, WALKER, HAGGERTY & BEHAR, LLP - 16450 Los Gatos Blvd., Suite 110, Los Gatos, CA 95032		
	b. My electronic service address is (specify): mnery@fwhb.com		
2.	I electronically served the <i>Proposed Order (Cover Sheet)</i> with a proposed order in PDF format attached, and a proposed order in an editable word-processing format as follows:		
	a. On (name of person served) (If the person served is an attorney, the party or parties represented should also be stated.): Jordan D. Johnson - Harris Personal Injury Lawyers, Inc Attorney for Plaintiff VIRGINIA PEERA		
	b. To (electronic service address of person served): jordan@harrispersonalinjury.com		
	c. On (date): September 12, 2022		
Electronic service of the <i>Proposed Order (Cover Sheet)</i> with the attached proposed order in PDF format and service of the proposed order in an editable word-processing format on additional persons are described in an attachment.			
	eclare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. ite: September 12, 2022		
MA	ARIELA NERY (TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF DECLARANT) (SIGNATURE OF JECLARANT)		

1	ARTHUR J. CASEY [SBN 123273] FORD, WALKER, HAGGERTY & BEHAR, LLP			
2				
3	Tel: (408) 660-3102 Fax: (408) 660-3105			
4	Email: <u>acasey@fwhb.com</u>			
5	Attorneys for Defendant COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION			
6	COSTCO WHOLESTILL CORT ORTHOR			
7				
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA			
10				
11	VIRGINIA PEERA, an individual,	Case No. 22CV398102		
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER		
13	vs.			
14 15	COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION, a business entity; and DOES 1 through 20, Inclusive,			
16	Defendants.			
17	Defendants.			
18				
19	CONFIDENTIALITY ACREEMI	ENT AND PROTECTIVE ORDER		
20		ENT AND I ROTECTIVE ORDER		
21	WHEDEAS plaintiff Virginia Poors (hor	eafter "Plaintiff") seeks discovery of		
22	WHEREAS, plaintiff Virginia Peera (hereafter, "Plaintiff") seeks discovery of			
23	confidential, proprietary and trade secret documents and information from Costco Wholesale Corp. ("Costco") regarding Costco's policies, practices and procedures ("Confidential")			
24	Information");	ecices and procedures (Communitian		
25		gainst the unrestricted disclosure and use of such		
26	Confidential Information; and	gamst the unrestricted disclosure and use of such		
27	<i>2</i>	ouse eviets for the entry of the forcesing Agreed		
28	WHEREAS, the parties agree that good cause exists for the entry of the foregoing Agreed			
20	Confidentiality and Protective Order;			
	STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER	1 Case No. 22CV398102		

THEREFORE, it is agreed that:

- 1. This Confidentiality Agreement shall govern all Confidential Information revealed in the above-referenced lawsuit, whether the Confidential Information is contained in documents produced, answers to interrogatories, deposition testimony, and/or any other oral or written responses to discovery conducted in this matter, whether it is revealed by Costco or any other party or third-party.
- 2. A party shall indicate that information is Confidential Information hereunder by producing a copy bearing a stamp or label signifying that it is "Confidential." In the case of testimony or any other oral information, it shall be sufficient for a party to state that the Confidential Information is confidential pursuant to this Agreement at the time such testimony or oral information is given or conveyed. Alternatively, a party may designate testimony as Confidential hereunder by marking the transcript containing Confidential Information with the word "Confidential" within thirty days (30) days of receipt of the transcript of said testimony. In the event any party creates a computer database, disk, compact disk, drive, digital or other electronic record containing Confidential Information, the party creating such an electronic record shall mark the case or envelope containing the material with the word "Confidential." Documents printed from such electronic media shall be marked the same as documents originally produced on paper and shall be accorded the same status of confidentiality as the underlying Confidential Information from which they are made and shall be subject to all of the terms of this Agreement.
- 3. Confidential Information obtained by or produced to any party shall be held in confidence and revealed only to the named parties in the above-captioned matter, counsel of record, paralegals and secretarial employees under counsels' direct supervision, the parties themselves for purposes of deposition, the Court and court staff, court reporters, and such persons as are employed by the parties or their counsel to act as experts, investigators or consultants in this case. All Confidential Information must be maintained in counsels' office, with the exception of documents and/or information provided to individuals employed to act as experts in this case. The parties shall take all steps reasonably necessary to see that no person shall use, disclose, copy or record Confidential Information for any purpose other than the preparation or conduct of this case.

- 4. All Confidential Information shall be used solely for the purpose of maintaining the present litigation, including any appeals or retrials, and not for any other purpose(s) or any other litigation. Under no circumstances shall Confidential Information be disclosed to anyone other than the persons designated above, and the parties shall not disclose such Confidential Information to any undesignated person during or after the termination of this litigation. Moreover, no Confidential Information shall be disclosed to a competitor (direct or indirect) of Costco, whether for the purposes of this litigation or otherwise.
- 5. Before any Confidential Information is disseminated or disclosed to any of the above-designated persons, such person shall (a) read this Confidentiality Agreement in its entirety; and, (b) agree to all provisions of this Confidentiality Agreement.
- 6. All depositions, pleadings, reports, notes, lists, memoranda, indices, compilations, electronically stored information, and records in which Confidential Information is discussed, documented or referred to shall themselves be deemed Confidential Information and shall be subject to this Agreement. Should a party use any Confidential Information in any pleading filed with the Court, that party shall, at the time of filing, request that the court impound the specific portion of the pleading containing the Confidential Information.
- 7. Upon final termination of this litigation, each person subject to this Confidentiality Agreement shall return all Confidential Information to counsel for Costco within thirty (30) days after the final disposition of this matter. Documents that contain notations of counsel may be destroyed rather than returned, but it shall be the responsibility of counsel to ensure the documents are destroyed.
- 8. The disclosure of any Confidential Information by Costco shall not serve to waive any of the protections provided by this Confidentiality Agreement with respect to any other Confidential Information.
- 9. No person who has received Confidential Information shall seek to vacate or otherwise modify this Agreement at any time. This Agreement shall not be abrogated, modified, amended, or enlarged except by stipulation and agreement of the parties or by the Court with notice given to each of the parties.

- 10. Nothing in this Confidentiality Agreement shall restrict a party's right to object to the disclosure of documents or information otherwise objectionable, protected or privileged pursuant to the California Code of Civil Procedure, all other applicable law, other than confidentiality, or to seek an agreement preventing disclosure or limiting the discovery of Confidential Information, or to seek an agreement preventing disclosure or limiting the discovery of information or material that is objectionable on other grounds, regardless of whether or not such material may also constitute Confidential Information pursuant to this Confidentiality Agreement. This parties shall take reasonable steps necessary to have the Court enter an order consistent with the terms of this Confidentiality Agreement.
- 11. Nothing contained herein shall restrict the presentation of any evidence, including Confidential Information, to a jury or the Court at trial. However, such presentation shall not constitute a waiver of any restrictions provided for in this Order and the parties agree to take reasonable steps to maintain the confidentiality of any Confidential Information at trial of this matter in such a manner and until such time as the Court may direct and/or as the parties may otherwise agree. Before any such presentation of Confidential Information, the receiving party shall provide Costco with appropriate notice so that Costco may have the opportunity to lodge appropriate objections or seek the Court's direction to prevent disclosure of the Confidential Information and/or move for appropriate confidential treatment of the materials at trial.
- 12. The terms of this Agreement shall remain fully active until released by written consent of Costco. The Court shall retain jurisdiction over the parties, this Agreement, and recipients of confidential documents, materials, and/or information for the sole purpose of enforcing this Agreement and adjudicating claims of breaches thereof and administering damages and other remedies related thereto. The provisions of this Agreement shall continue to be binding as to the confidential documents, materials, and/or information produced pursuant to it.

25 | / /

26 | / / /

27 1//

28 | / / /

1	13. This Stipulation and Order may be executed in one or more counterparts, and		
2	facsimile signatures are deemed to constitute origi	nals for purposes of this Stipulation.	
3	Dated: <u>09/12/2022</u>	FORD, WALKER, HAGGERTY & BEHAR	
4			
5	I I	By:	
6		ARTHUR J. CASEY Attorneys for Defendants COSTCO	
7	II.	WHOLESALE CORPORATION and COSTCO MEMBERSHIP, INC.	
8			
9	Dated: 09/08/2022 F	IARRIS PERSONAL INJURY LAWYERS	
10			
11 12	E	ву:	
13	A	JORDAN O. JOHNSON Attorneys for Plaintiff VIRGINIA PEERA	
14	184		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

Case No. 22CV398102

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

1	ORDER		
2	It is hereby ordered that pursuant to the stipulation of the parties by and through their		
3	counsel that a protective order consistent with the terms agreed to by the parties above is issued in		
4	the above-entitled action.		
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
6			
7			
8	Date:		
9	The Honorable Judge of the Superior Court		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
ll.			

Casa No. 22CV/308102

STIDILI VLED DDULECTIVE UDDED

PROOF OF SERVICE 1 Peera v. Costco Santa Clara County Case No. 22CV398102 2 3 I, MARIELA NERY, declare: 4 I am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within entitled action. I am employed by Ford, Walker, Haggerty & Behar, LLP. My business address is 16450 Los Gatos Blvd., 5 Suite 110, Los Gatos, California 95032. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection and processing of documents for delivery by way of the service indicated below. 6 On **SEPTEMBER 12, 2022**, I served the following document(s): 7 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER 8 9 on the interested party(ies) in this action as follows: 10 BY E-MAIL: By agreement of the parties to accept service by electronic transmission, I caused the document(s) to be sent from email address mnery@fwhb.com to the persons at the electronic 11 notification addresses shown below. 12 Jordan D. Johnson Attorneys for Plaintiff 13 Harris Personal Injury Lawyers, Inc. VIRGINIA PEERA 55 S. Market Street, Suite 1010 14 San Jose, CA 95113 EMAIL:jordan@harrispersonalinjury.com 15 16 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. 17 Executed on **SEPTEMBER 12, 2022**, at Los Gatos, California. 18 19 Mariela Nery 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

PROOF OF SERVICE Case No. 22CV398102